

Impact Assessment of TVS SST's Initiatives in the State of Tamil Nadu

SUBMITTED BY

INSTITUTE OF RURAL MANAGEMENT, ANAND ANAND, GUJARAT 388001

22 December 2022



Contents

- 1. Executive Summary
- 2. Findings from Quantitative Study
- 3. Findings from Qualitative Study
- 4. Conclusion & Recommendation

Executive Summary



- Objective is to assess the impact of SST's interventions on the beneficiaries
- Data collected from 4 blocks: Polur, West Arani, Javadhu Hills (treatment) and Kalasapakkam (control)
- Quantitative and Qualitative data collection
- Finding indicates growth of income and wellbeing of the SST's households (HH)

The Core Team













Prof. Rakesh Arrawatia, Associate Professor, IRMA

Prof. Sushanta Sarma, Assistant Professor, IRMA **Prof. Shyam Singh,** Associate Professor, IRMA **Debasmita Bej** Program Manager, IRMA

Yash Kodwani, Program Manager, IRMA



Findings from Quantitative Study

HH Income & Income growth



 Difference in average Income (2021-22) : + INR 18061 per Treatment HH

Parameters	Treatment	Control	
Income Growth (2017-22)	+141%	+38%	
Income growth from Agricultural Interventions (2017-2022)	+22%	+9%	

Access to Credit & Borrowing Pattern



Blocks	Government Schemes	SHGs	Bank Loans	Money lender	Relatives	MFIs
Javadhu hills	1%	45%	9%	9%	11%	1%
Polur	9%	72%	14%	11%	5%	3%
West Arani	6%	68%	20%	8%	5%	6%
Kalasapakk am	5%	28%	13%	9%	6%	4%

medical IOUSP education ae narria Se study shops tam consupmtion construction 6 me maintenance hospitaleducational business thirumanai

Findings from Quantitative Study



- Treatment group has better access to schemes: SHG, seed distribution, health camps, animal feed and dairy cooperative
 Treatment group has greater livestock assets
- More household assets- motorbike, refrigerator, smartphones, computer
- · Less access to government schemes- LPG connection & electricity
- · Low participation in local governance
- Positive income difference in (2021-22)
- Greater HH income growth (2017-22)
- Greater income growth from agriculture (2017-22)



Findings from Qualitative Study

Findings from Qualitative Study



- Critical sector for development: Education, Agriculture, Healthcare, Employment, Mobility and Animal Husbandry
- Crucial segment of the community: Farmers, Women, Children and Youth
- Livelihood aspiration: IGA like Micro enterprise, livestock rearing, service skills (tailoring and skill training- like computer training)
- Aspiration: Banana Fibre Unit is a source of aspiration for women SHG members

Major Findings from Qualitative Study



From the viewpoint of Government Officials

- Information Disseminator
- Community Mobilizer
- Empowering women
- From the viewpoint of community
 - Recognised for employment and entrepreneurial intervention
 - SST is recognized for holistic development
 - Behavioral change among communities Education is valued more by community



Recommendation

Recommendations



- Focus on Micro-entrepreneurship-(capacity building, institutional support, market linkage, and aggregation)
- Consolidation of activities/interventions around farmer, children and women
- Positioning of SST: Service provider or Enabler?. Need for a reorientation within SST and "strong community institutions" should be core to intervention strategy



Thank You!